



ΦΑΡΜΑΚΕΥΤΙΚH, 36, III, 2024 | 54-66

PHARMAKEFTIKI, 36, III, 2024 | 54-66

# **Method Development and Process Validation of Glucose Estimation in Chewable Tablets by RP-HPLC**

Ganesan Padmini Tamilarasi<sup>1</sup>, Krishnan Manikandan<sup>1</sup>\*, Viswas Raja Solomon<sup>2\*\*</sup>

*1 Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, SRM College of Pharmacy, SRMIST, Kattankulathur, Chennai 603203, Tamil Nadu, India*

*2 Medicinal Chemistry Research Laboratory, MNR College of Pharmacy, Gr. Hyderabad, Sangareddy 502294, Andhra Pradesh, India*

**KEY WORDS: Glucose; Chewable tablets; RP-HPLC; method development; method validation; process validation.**

## **ARTICLE INFO:**

*Received: March,4, 2024 Revised: April 30, 2024 Accepted: May 10, 2024 Available on line: October 14, 2024*

## **\* CORRESPONDING AUTHORS**

Dr. Krishnan Manikandan Email id. gurumani12@gmail.com Dr. Viswas Raja Solomon Email id. vrajasolomon@gmail.com

## **ABSTRACT**

Several analytical procedures use the HPLC method to test glucose in other dosage forms, but there isn't yet for tablet dosage forms. To determine glucose in chewable tablet dosage form using RP-HPLC, we thus concentrate on creating a single efficient approach. This study aims to develop and validate a simple, accurate, rapid, and economical method for glucose chewable tablets by RP-HPLC. The validated method is used to validate the process at each stage of tablet production. Chromatographic runs were carried out on a Bondapak NH2 (10 µm, 3.9 mm x 300 mm) column with a mobile phase of water and acetonitrile (70:30) at a flow rate of 1 mL/min and detected using an RI detector as per ICH guidelines. The method is shown to be linear in the range of 80% (1.6 mg/mL) to 120% (2.4 mg/mL) of operating concentration with a correlation coefficient of 0.999, accurate at a recovery rate of 98.0% and 102.0%, and robust to changes in mobile phase ratio and flow rate. It is a simple, accurate, economical, fast, and precise method for glucose.

ΕΡΕΥΝΗΤΙΚΗ ΕΡΓΑΣΙΑ

RESEARCH ARTICLE

*Tamilarasi GP., et al., Pharmakeftiki, 36, III, 2024* | 54-66

## **1. Introduction**

One of the most important analytical methods for research and laboratory studies is high-performance liquid chromatography  $(HPLC)^{1.1}$ ts intrinsic capacity to analyze, separate, and purify various chemical samples, including but not limited to acidic, basic, and neutral analytes, is extensively utilized in chemical, pharmaceutical, and biological analysis and drug treatment monitoring<sup>2</sup> pharmaceutical residues are a field of particular interest due to the adverse effects to either human health or aquatic and soil environment. Because of the diversity of these compounds, at least 3000 substances were identified and categorized into 49 different therapeutic classes, and several actions are urgently required at multiple steps, the main ones: (i In reversed-phase liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC), the analysis is performed using a polar hydro-organic mobile phase and a nonpolar stationary phase that may or may not be spiked with a buffer salt<sup>3</sup>. The solutes' hydrophobicity, the surface's hydrophobicity, and the mobile phase's polarity all increase retention as they become more hydrophobic. Two phenomena-partitioning (where analyte molecules fully submerge themselves in the bonded phase) and adsorption (which takes place at the bonded-phase/solvent  $interface$ ) – are used to accomplish separation. $4,5$ .

Glucose is an aldose monosaccharide integral to photosynthesis and respiration, functioning as an energy store and metabolic fuel in most organisms. As a monomer and component of more complex compounds such as polysaccharides and glucosides, glucose also plays a significant role in contemporary food items, notably in taste and structure6. Chewable glucose tablets are a flexible dosage form with several benefits, including patient-centered medication administration, simple swallowing, the stability benefits of solid dosage forms, and oral drug delivery without the need for water. They provide a practical way to give pediatric medications and dietary supplements like chewable multivitamins. Chewable pills are used in veterinary medicine as well.7,8

Before conducting a quantitative analysis, a qualitative analysis is required. Separation's part is often necessary for qualitative analysis. The results of conventional quantitative analysis can be computed from the amount of analyte in the sample and the volume or mass of the sample. Analyzing pharmaceutical chemistry quantitatively relies on instruments. New drugs are constantly being developed, and to control their quality, new methods are needed. A modern pharmaceutical analysis must meet the following requirements: The analysis should be finished as soon as feasible, adhere to pharmacopeia standards, be economical, and be exact and discerning. as opposed to letting a solvent drop down the column naturally. The variety of detection techniques available is one of the most significant advancements over column chromatography. These techniques are highly automated and incredibly delicate.9-11

Diana et al. developed and validated a liquid chromatographic method to quantify glucose, fructose, and sucrose in raw tubers of Solanum tuberosum. Group Phureja and AMINEX HPX 87H columns were used. This extraction method achieved 94.14 to 99.77% recovery. The three sugars' detection limits were 3.0 mg/ $L^{12}$ . Wilson et al.<sup>13</sup> found that using an HPLC with a refractive index (RI) detector could determine glucose, sucrose, and fructose in potatoes in a simple, reproducible manner. The method recovered 93% or more of all sugars using the HPLC system, which comprised a Bondapak/carbohydrate column and an acetonitrile/water solvent system (75:25). A range of 1.39-13.31% was observed in the coefficients of variation for the experiment.13 There have been reports on several analytical methods for determining sugars and sugar alcohols, including gas chromatography14, spectrophotometry methods, high-performance liquid chromatography<sup>15</sup>, and capillary electrophoresis.16 A major drawback of gas chromatography is the time-consuming process of derivatizing samples to trimethyl silane or alditol acetates. Calorimetric procedures do not directly distinguish monosaccharides, glucose, and fructose. Due to these drawbacks, HPLC methods are a better choice. It is becoming increasingly popular to use HPLC to separate sugars according to their quantitative composition. HPLC combined with a UV-VIS PHARMAKEFTIKI, 36, III, 2024 | 54-66

detector.<sup>15</sup> a diode-array detector.<sup>17</sup> a refractive-index detector18, a pulse-amperometric detector,<sup>19</sup> an evaporative light scattering detector, $20$  and a  $charged$  aerosol detector<sup>21</sup> have been used. As sugars and sugar alcohols lack any visible chromophore, the specific UV method is unreliable or impossible. HPLC methods for detecting these compounds rely on refractive index detectors.

In this work, an RP-HPLC method has been developed for the quantitation of glucose in chewable tablets. According to a literature review, the HPLC method was employed by various analysts to measure glucose in other dosage forms. Still, no such techniques have been developed for the analysis of glucose in tablet dosage forms.

## **2. Experimental**

## **2.1. Reagents and chemicals**

Glucose Standard was a generous gift from Twenty-first-century Pharmaceuticals Pvt Ltd., Ambattur, Chennai (India). HPLC-grade acetonitrile and water were purchased from Merck, India. All dilutions were performed in standard class-A volumetric glassware. All other chemicals used were of analytical grade. Triple distilled water was used in the entire study. Glucose sample tablets (NUVIT) were obtained from the local market.

## **2.2. Instrumentation**

Chromatographic separation was performed on Agilent Technologies (1220 Infinity II LC) series HPLC have a Bondapak NH2, Column (10 µm, 3.9 mm x 300 mm). The column temperature was maintained at 40 °C. To facilitate the chemicals' dissolution, an Analytical Technologies Ltd. Sonicator was used.

## **2.3. Chromatographic conditions**

The high-performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) system used was operated isocratically with the column temperature maintained at 40°C, using a mobile phase composition of water: acetonitrile (70:30), the mobile phase solvents were filtered through 0.45 μm filter paper to remove particulate matter and degassed by sonication. The flow rate employed for analysis was 1.0 mL/min with run time 30min and the refractive index (RI) detector is the choice of detector for the determination of sugars.

## **2.4. Preparation of stock standard solution and working standard solution**

## a) **Preparation of 4mg/ml standard stock solution (Solution A)**

Accurately weighed and transferred 200mg of Glucose WRS into a 50ml volumetric flask. Added 30ml of mobile phase and sonicated to dissolve. Diluted to volume with mobile phase and mixed well.

## b) **Preparation of 1.6 mg/mL solution: (80% solution)**

Pipetted out 4.0 mL of the standard stock solution (Solution A) into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Diluted to volume with diluent and mixed well.

## c) **Preparation of 1.8 mg/mL solution: (90% solution)**

Pipetted out 4.5ml of the standard stock solution (Solution A) into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Diluted to volume with diluent and mixed well.

## d) **Preparation of 2.0 mg/mL solution: (100% solution)**

Pipetted out 5.0ml of the standard stock solution (Solution A) into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Diluted to volume with diluent and mixed well.

## e) **Preparation of 2.2 mg/mL solution: (110% solution)**

Pipetted out 5.5ml of the standard stock solution (Solution A) into a 10 mLvolumetric flask. Diluted to volume with diluent and mixed well.

f) **Preparation of 2.4 mg/mL solution: (120% solution):** Pipetted out 6.0 mL of the standard stock

*Tamilarasi GP., et al., Pharmakeftiki, 36, III, 2024* | 54-66

solution (Solution A) into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Diluted to volume with diluent and mixed well.

 Each of the standard solutions was injected 3 times, and the mean peak area of the drug was calculated and plotted against the concentration of the drug. The regression equation was found by using a standard curve.

## **2.5. Preparation of sample solution:**

Calculate the average weight of 20 tablets. Mix the content thoroughly and weigh accurately about 140 mg (Equivalent to 50 mg of glucose) of the mixed contents into a 25 mL standard flask. Add about 20 mL of the mobile phase and sonicate for 30 min with occasional shaking, cool and dilute to 25 mL with the mobile phase. Filter through a 0.45 µm membrane filter.

## **a) Preparation of 4.8 mg/mL of sample solution (80% solution):**

Accurately weighed and transferred 120.02 mg of sample into a 25 mL volumetric flask. Added 10 mL of mobile phase and sonicated to dissolve. Diluted to volume with mobile phase and mixed well.

## **b) Preparation of 6 mg/mL of sample solution (100% solution):**

Accurately weighed and transferred 150.40 mg of sample into a 25 mL volumetric flask. Added 10 mL of mobile phase and sonicated to dissolve. Diluted to volume with mobile phase and mixed well.

## **c) Preparation of 7.1 mg/mL of sample solution (120% solution):**

Accurately weighed and transferred 179.68 mg of sample into a 25 mL volumetric flask. Added 10 mL of mobile phase and sonicated to dissolve. Diluted to volume with mobile phase and mixed well.

## **2.6. Assay for RP-HPLC method**

Inject 20 µL of standard and sample solutions into the HPLC system and record the chromatograms.

## **2.7. Method validation**

As the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) and the Association of Official Analytical Chemists International suggested, the RP-HPLC technique was validated for linearity, accuracy, detection limit, quantification, precision, specificity, and robustness.

## **2.8. Linearity**

The linearity of the method was determined by analyzing several aliquots of a standard glucose solution. For the RP-HPLC method, linear correlations were obtained between peak area and concentration for glucose in the ranges of 1.6 mg/mL, 1.8 mg/ mL, 2.0 mg/mL, 2.2 mg/mL, and 2.4 mg/mL, respectively.

### **2.9. Accuracy**

Three samples, each of 80% (4.8 mg/mL), 100% (6 mg/mL), and  $120\%$  (7.1 mg/mL) of the actual quantities present, were prepared for NUVIT tablets and the recoveries studied. Accuracy was assessed using the nine determinations of recoveries for each tablet.

### **2.10. Precision**

Precision was assessed using the determination of a homogeneous sample of NUVIT at 100% test concentration. The method, system, and intermediate precisions were determined using relative standard deviation percentages to determine intermediate precision. Precision studies were repeated on different days.

### **2.11. Robustness**

As per ICH guidelines, small but deliberate variations in the mobile phase concentration were made

#### $\frac{1}{1}$  πραταστικό τικό σύστη, 2021 | 51.00 PHARMAKEFTIKI, 36, III, 2024 | 54-66

## RESEARCH ARTICLE



*Figure 1. HPLC chromatogram of [A]. Glucose Standard WRS [B]. Glucose Sample [C]. Blank*

to check the method's robustness. All samples were injected two times and analyzed. **3.2.1 Linearity and Range** 

## **3. Results and Discussion**

## **3.1 Method development and optimization**

### **3.1.1. Mobile phase selection**

The mobile phase was selected based on best s separation, peak purity index, point symmetry, theoretical plate, etc. The different solvent system was selected for analysis of glucose with varying concentrations of water and acetonitrile, Water: Aceto-

nitrile (25:75), Water: Acetonitrile (50:50), Water: Acetonitrile (75:25), Water: Acetonitrile (70:30). The diluent is selected based on the nature of the drug, such as pKa, and solubility. The solvent in which the sample drug has the maximum solubility is selected as the diluent. Glucose is freely soluble in water, so water is used as a diluent. Many trials were ǡȋͳȌǤ made to determine the mobile phase for eluting the sample drug. All the mobile phase solvents were filtered through 0.45 μm filter paper to remove particulate matter and degassed by sonication. The flow **Results**  rate employed for analysis was 1.0 mL/min. The mobile phase found to be most suitable for analysis was

#### $\frac{1}{2}$ **3.2.2.3 Intermediate precision: (Inter-day precision / Ruggedness)** *Tamilarasi GP., et al., Pharmakeftiki, 36, III, 2024* | 54-66



## **Table 1. The results of linearity parameters for glucose. Table 1. The results of linearity parameters for glucose.**

#### $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ **Table 2**Ǥ **Results of Intra-day and Inter-day precision.**



Water: Acetonitrile (70:30) and the refractive index (RI) detector is the choice of detector for the deter- erating conditions for RP-HPLC. The glucose anal mination of sugars.

## **3.1.2. System suitability parameter**

After optimizing separation settings and allowing the mobile phase to saturate the column at 1mL/ min, glucose working standard replicates were individually injected into the column. The peak areas, retention duration, theoretical plates, tailing factor, resolution, capacity factor, and AUC was assessed to **No** determine the appropriateness of the system. ͳ

## **3.1.3. Optimization**

Several parameters, including the composition of the HF the mobile phase, the flow rate, the column type, and **Accuracy 100%**

the detectors used, were varied to optimize the operating conditions for RP-HPLC. The glucose analysis method showed the best resolution with Bondapak NH2,  $10 \mu m$ ,  $3.9 \mu m$  x  $300 \mu m$  column and mobile **3.1.2. System suitability parameter** phase consisting of Water: Acetonitrile (70:30) with a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The mean retention time for glucose was 2.82min with good peak resolution and shape (Figure 1).  $\frac{100}{100}$  and  $\frac{100}{100}$  in  $\frac{100}{100}$  and  $\frac{100}{100}$ 

## **3.2 Method validation**

## **3.2.1 Linearity and Range**

αστεπίπισε από αργιστριατοποίες στατεσίας στους στους.<br>The operating concentration of glucose was 2 mg/ mL. Concentrations between 80% to 120% of operating concentration were prepared and injected into the HPLC system, and the peak areas were noted  $(Table 1).$  $\frac{1}{10}$  operating concentration of  $\frac{8}{10}$  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  in  $\mu$ . Concentrations between  $\delta v_{\nu}$ 

## PHARMAKEFTIKI, 36, III, 2024 | 54-66<br>
FRANCISCO ESTE EN ENGLISHMENTIKI, 1999

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

## Table 3. Accuracy



*Limit: Between 98.0% and 102.0% of added value, RSD Limit not more than 2.0%*

Concentration was plotted against area response for glucose, and a straight-line graph was obtained. The method is linear in 80% and 120% of operating concentration. The coefficient of correlation for linearity was found to be 0.999, typically specified in method validation protocols. The limit for the coefficient of correlation is not less than 0.995.

## **1.1.2 Precision**

## **3.2.2.1 System precision:**

In HPLC, the peak areas of each of the six injections of the working standard solution were measured. The Chromatogram of the system precision is shown in Figure S1.

## **3.2.2.2 Method precision: (Intra-day precision)**

The working sample (test) solutions were injected six times, and the area was measured for all six samples in HPLC. The %RSD for the area of six replicate injections should be within the limit (Table 2). Chromatogram of the method precision is shown in Figure S2.

## **3.2.2.3 Intermediate precision: (Inter-day precision / Ruggedness)**

Six individual samples were prepared by a second analyst using a different column and injected in Ǥȋ ʹȌǤ *Tamilarasi GP., et al., Pharmakeftiki, 36, III, 2024* | 54-66



*Figure 2. Chromatogram of water: acetonitrile (68:32).*

Table 4. Variation in ratio 68:32.



a different HPLC system on intraday. as per the assay method. The proposed method for glucose was verified by method precision, system precision, and are tabulated intermediate precision (Ruggedness). The results were as tabulated (Table 3). The deviations among  $\qquad$  Recovery studies verified the proposed metho the results from the average value are +0.47% and -0.56%. Limit: 2% RSD values are well within limits, and the deviation among the results was also within the limit. Hence the method has good precision. Chromatogram of the Intermediate precision as shown in Figures S3 and S4.

## **3.2.2.4 Accuracy**

Three samples of 80% (4.8 mg/mL), 100% (6 mg/ mL), and 120% (7.1 mg/mL) of the actual quantities were prepared for NUVIT tablets and the recoveries studied. Accuracy was assessed using the nine determinations of recoveries for each tablet. The details are tabulated below (Table 3). The chromatogram of the accuracy is shown in Figure S5.

Recovery studies verified the proposed method's accuracy.

The recovery percentage range was between 98.0%, and 102.0% it is a good index of the accuracy and repeatability of the method. The results were tabulated in the Table 3. All parameters, including temperature, wavelength, detection, sensitivity, and flow rate, were maintained constant throughout the procedure.

## **3.2.2.5 Robustness**

The method's robustness was checked by chang-

## ǣ **-** ȋȌͳͲͲͲǤ ͻͳ͵Ǥ ͻͳ͵Ǥ PHARMAKEFTIKI, 36, III, 2024 | 54-66

ΕΡΕΥΝΗΤΙΚΗ ΕΡΓΑΣΙΑ

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

Table 5. Summary of system suitability.



## Table 6. Blend sample batch.



ͻ ͵͵ͲͳͶͶͺʹ ͵ʹͻͲʹͶͲ ͵ʹͻͺ͵ͳ ͳͳͺǤ ͳͶͺǤʹʹ ͻͻǤͳͷͳͶ *Tamilarasi GP., et al., Φαρμακευτική, 36, III, 2024* | 54-66

*Tamilarasi GP., et al., Pharmakeftiki, 36, III, 2024* | 54-66

Table 6 continued



Table 7. Core tablet batch.



## PHARMAKEFTIKI, 36, III, 2024 | 54-66

## ΕΡΕΥΝΗΤΙΚΗ ΕΡΓΑΣΙΑ

RESEARCH ARTICLE

## **Table 8.** Ǥ **Coated tablets batch.**



ing some chromatographic conditions slightly, like changing the mobile phase flow rate and ratio. There **5. Conclusion** were no drastic changes in chromatograms observed

## **3.2.2.6 System Suitability**

shown in Table 5

Relative standard deviation from standard injections - Acceptance criteria – (NMT) not more than to S13.<br>2.00 2.0%.

Column efficiency: Acceptance criteria - the limit **5. Conclusion** is not less than (NLT) 1000 theoretical plates.

Tailing factor: Acceptance criteria - not more than 3.0%.

## **4. Process Validation**

The validated method was used for process validation in each stage, such as blending, core tablets, and coated tablets of the tablet dosage form production

(Figure 2). The details are tabulated below (Table 4) top, front middle, back right top, back right middle, The parameters checked for system suitability as as shown in Figures S6 to S8. Validation results for (Table S1). In the blending stage, each 360.0 mg of the blend contains glucose 150 mg of samples were collected from various places like back left top, front top, front middle, back right top, back right middle, back left middle, front bottom, back right bottom, **3.2.2.6 System Suitability** and back left bottom are validated for 3 batches (Table 6). Chromatogram of the Blend samples are as shown in Figures S6 to S8. Validation results for core and coated tablets are given in Tables 7 and 8, Relative standard deviation from standard injec- respectively. Chromatogram as shown in Figures S9 to S13.

## **5. Conclusion**

Tailing factor: Acceptance criteria - not more than The RP-HPLC method developed for the analysis of glucose chewable tablets is rapid, accurate, precise, and requires a very short run time, as retention time was 2.822 min. The developed method was validated successfully, showing satisfactory results for all method validation parameters. The percent tion in each stage, such as blending, core tablets, and recovery was 99.64%. An accurate, rapid, simple, and precise RP-HPLC method was developed to es-

*Tamilarasi GP., et al., Pharmakeftiki, 36, III, 2024* | 54-66

timate glucose. The proposed method can be used to estimate glucose in chewable tablets. The developed and validated method was used for process validation in each stage, and the report shows excellent results. The method was developed and validated according to the ICH guidelines. Hence, the developed method can be used for routine analysis and process validation.  $\square$ 

## **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## **Acknowledgments:**

We acknowledge SRM College of Pharmacy for their support.

## **For supplementary Material click here**

## **References:**

- 1. Sahu P.K., Ramisetti N.R,.; Cec,chi T.; Swain S., Patro C.S.;, Panda J. An Overview of Experimental Designs in HPLC Method Development and Validation. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.147*, 590–611, 2018.
- 2. Almeida C.M.M. Overview of Sample Preparation and Chromatographic Methods to Analysis Pharmaceutical Active Compounds in Waters Matrices. *Separations*. *8*, 1–50, 2021.
- 3. Chapter 4. Reversed Phase Chromatography of Peptides. Editor(s): Dominic M. Desiderio. *Tech. Instrum. Anal. Chem. 6*, 51–73, 1984**.**
- 4. Gritti F., Guiochon G. Adsorption Mechanism in Reversed-Phase Liquid Chromatography. Effect of the Surface Coverage of a Monomeric C18-Silica Stationary Phase. *J. Chromatogr. A*. *1115*, 142– 163, 2006.
- 5. De Luca C.,; Buratti A., Krauke Y., Stephan S.,Monks K., Brighenti V., Pellati F., Cavazzini A., Catani M., Felletti S. Investigating the Effect of Polarity of Stationary and Mobile Phases on Retention of Cannabinoids in Normal Phase Liquid Chromatography. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 414*, 5385–5395, 2022.
- 6. Galant A.L., Kaufman R.C., Wilson J.D. Glucose:

Detection and Analysis. *Food Chem. 188*, 149– 160, 2015.

- 7. Musuc A.M., Anuta V., Atkinson I., Sarbu I., Popa V.T., Munteanu C., Mircioiu C., Ozon E.A., Nitulescu G.M., Mitu M.A. Formulation of Chewable Tablets Containing Carbamazepine-β-Cyclodextrin Inclusion Complex and f-Melt Disintegration Excipient. The Mathematical Modeling of the Release Kinetics of Carbamazepine. *Pharmaceutics*. *13*, 915, 2021.
- 8. Sawatdee S., Atipairin A., Yoon A.S., Srichana T., Changsan N., Suwandecha T. Formulation Development of Albendazole-Loaded Self-Microemulsifying Chewable Tablets to Enhance Dissolution and Bioavailability. *Pharmaceutics*. *11*, 134, 2019.
- 9. Sahu P.K., Ramisetti N.R., Cecchi T., Swain S., Patro C.S., Panda J. An Overview of Experimental Designs in HPLC Method Development and Validation. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 147*, 590–611, 2018
- 10. Tian M., Row K.H. Separation of Glucose and Bioethanol in Biomass with Current Methods and Sorbents. *J. Chromatogr. Sci. 51*, 819–824, 2013.
- 11. Bortolotti F., Sorio D., Bertaso A., Tagliaro F. Analytical and Diagnostic Aspects of Carbohydrate

PHARMAKEFTIKI, 36, III, 2024 | 54-66

Deficient Transferrin (CDT): A Critical Review over Years 2007–2017. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal*. *147*, 2–12, 2018.

- 12. Duarte-Delgado D., Narváez-Cuenca C.E., Restrepo-Sánchez L.P., Kushalappa A., Mosquera-Vásquez T. Development and Validation of a Liquid Chromatographic Method to Quantify Sucrose, Glucose, and Fructose in Tubers of Solanum Tuberosum Group Phureja. *J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 975*, 18–23, 2015.
- 13. Wilson A.M., Work T.M., Bushway A.A., Bushway R.J. HPLC Determination of Fructose, Glucose, and Sucrose in Potatoes. *J. Food Sci. 46*, 300–301, 1981.
- 14. Wahjudi P.N., Patterson M.E., Lim S., Yee J.K., Mao C.S., Lee W.N.P. Measurement of Glucose and Fructose in Clinical Samples Using Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry. *Clin. Biochem. 43*, 198–207, 2010.
- 15. Parpinello G.P., Versari A. A Simple High-Performance Liquid Chromatography Method for the Analysis of Glucose, Glycerol, and Methanol in a Bioprocess. *J. Chromatogr. Sci*. *38*, 259–261, 2000.
- 16. Wang J. Electrochemical Detection for Capillary

Electrophoresis Microchips: A Review. *Electroanalysis*. *17*, 1133–1140, 2005.

- 17. Mattila P., Kumpulainen J. Determination of Free and Total Phenolic Acids in Plant-Derived Foods by HPLC with Diode-Array Detection. *J. Agric. Food Chem. 50*, 3660–3667, 2002.
- 18. Al-Sanea M.M., Gamal M. Critical Analytical Review: Rare and Recent Applications of Refractive Index Detector in HPLC Chromatographic Drug Analysis. *Microchem. J. 178*, 107339, 2022
- 19. Bao Y., Silva T.M.J., Guerrant R.L., Lima A.A.M., Fox J.W. Direct Analysis of Mannitol, Lactulose and Glucose in Urine Samples by High-Performance Anion-Exchange Chromatography with Pulse Amperometric Detection. Clinical Evaluation of Intestinal Permeability in Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection. *J. Chromatogr. B Biomed. Appl. 685*, 105–112, 1996.
- 20. Mourey T.H., Oppenheimer L.E. Principles of Operation of an Evaporative Light-Scattering Detector for Liquid Chromatography. *Anal. Chem.56*, 2427–2434, 1984.
- 21. Moreau R.A. The Analysis of Lipids via HPLC with a Charged Aerosol Detector. *Lipids*. *41*, 727–734, 2006.